Network-based Architectures for Intelligent Virtual Environments – The NAIVE case

N. AVRADINIS, A. BELESIOTIS, I. GIANNAKAS, R. KOUTSIAMANIS, T. PANAYIOTOPOULOS, K. TILELIS

Krowledge Engineering Lab, Department of Informatics

80 Karsali & Dimirrou ex., Primus 18534
GREET:
statelusering, themispanning.
Abstract: - In this paper we suggest an approach for developing a network based system for hosting intelligent

virtual environments. We briefly introduce the notion of intelligent virtual environments and agents and present the advantages and davabacks of their network variant. We demonstrate an architecture and topology for a network based approach and demonstrate its application on the Unival Engine.

Key-Worder - Virtual Agents: Virtual Environments. Intelligent Agents. Distributed Agents. Network.

Key-Words: - Virtual Agents, Virtual Environments, Intelligent Agents, Distributed Agents, Network, Distributed Environments

1 Introduction Intelligent Virtual Environments, (IVEs), are very

demanding, in terms of computing power, due to the combination of realistic 3D graphics with artificial intelligence techniques. To overcome this, attempts have been made to migrate to a distributed IVE architecture: The systems DIVA, [1], and mVital, [6], are some examples of such approaches.

In this paper we suggest a different approach to such a distributed environment. Not unlike the DIVA and mVital systems, our architecture also focuses on the idea of decomposing the system in three different modules; one responsible for the manipulation of the agent, one for the "world" and one for the visualization of the environment. However, several changes have been introduced.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we present an introduction to intelligent visual agents (IVAs), intelligent visual agents (IVAs), intelligent visual environments and their extrovet. Sensel approach is Section 3, we present the architecture of the proposed system and is distribution cover the networks. In Section 4, we is distribution cover the networks. In Section 4, we action is shown. Finally, in Section 6, conclusions along with shown. Finally, in Section 6, conclusions along with

2 Network-Based IVA and IVE

2.1 Definitions

The term Intelligent Virtual Agent (IVA) is multifaceted and complex, requiring a definition depending on the context in which it's used. To expand the definition of intelligent agents given by Russel and Norvig, [3], we can state that: An Intelligent Virtual Agent is a synthetic character that can perceive its virtual environment using

sensors and act upon it by means of effectors, using Al techniques to form decisions. The term virtual refers to the graphical representation of the agent. To further expand the definition, IVAs perceive dynamic conditions, act to affect them and use

dynamic conditions, act to affect them and use reasoning to interpret perceptions, solve problems, draw conclusions, and determine actions, [4]. IVAs display certain characteristics: an IVA can be autonomous, thus being able to operate without

depending on humans, can interact with other agents, can interact with and interpret the environment and can dictate its own behaviour by defining goals to achieve.

The recent advancements in the realm of 3D

graphics have led to the increasing use of Virtual Environments, [5]:

A virtual environment is an interactive 3D world.

A virtual environment is an interactive 3D world, developed using computer technology, in which the objects have a sense of spatial presence.

The virtual world allows users to interact with its objects and incorporates physics, animations do sounds to as to render the whole experience realistic. Despite the high level of realism of both virtual environments, the experience cannot be characterized as immersive, given the lack of interaction with other humans or computer-generated intelligent entities.

The meeting point of Intelligent Virtual Agents and Virtual Environments is an Intelligent Virtual Environments in an Intelligent Virtual Environment (IVE). It consists of a 3D world wherein IVAs can operate and interact with both humans and other IVAs. The increasing computing

power contributes to the creation of visually realistic environments and allows for efficient application of advanced AI techniques. The agent can "sense" and interpret the surrounding environment and act accordingly.

2.2 Network-based IVAs and IVEs The advancement of networks and their widespread

use, have laid the foundation for developing network-based IVAs and IVEs.

A Network-based IVA, while maintaining the basic IVA characteristics, also supports interaction with other remote IVAs, [2], and humans on shared, remore IVEs. Network based IVEs, are deployed in the form of a server hosting the virtual environment and allowing for either human or IVA clients to connect and operate in the virtual environment.

3 Architecture & Topology Following the example of DIVA. [1], and mVital.

[6], the system consists of the world server and one or more visualization and agent clients. The world server contains and manages the visual environment, but is not responsible for visualizing it. Il manages all the information repeding the world, its objects, object relations and in "laws". Furthermore, the world server is responsible for generating the agents' sensory imput and for executing the agents' sensory imput and for executing the agents' sensory imput and for

The visualization client displays the virtual world in real-time using 3D techniques. The agent clients are responsible for interpreting the agents' senses, making decisions and taking

actions.

The agents operate in "Sense-Decide-Act" loops, while the world server operates in "Receive-Update-Send" loops.

Specifications of the communication between the world server, the visualization client and the agent clients, as well as the format of the communication messages must be now given.

3.1 Communication Model

Amongst the modules of the proposed system, two types of communication solutions can be developed.

• Direct linking between the modules

 Network based approach
 The first approach suggests the direct linking of the three modules of the system. Direct linking is the creation of a static library, or a dynamic link library, e.g. a dll for Windows, for each module, and combining them with a core application, thus producing the final system. The core application is responsible for the communication between the modules, distributing the messages through appropriate function calls. The modules exist in the application in the form of objects, the functions of which are executed via threads.

The second approach suggests the use of a network protocol as the means of communication between modules. Therefore, the modules have to be standalone, excusable applications, possibly iocated on several different computers. The prococol used for the communication can be either the TCPHP or the UDP protocol. Both require the opening of a socket, i.e. a littening and transmitting next, used for exchanging means.

The simplest agreeach is the use of direct linking between the modules. Even though it is quite simple, easy to implement, thoroughly tested and used in a marrow project, it is think as significant disadvantage; the inability of scaling in a distributed environment results in the lack of distributed comparing a dwantages, such as the use of multiple processors for handling the different tasks of the application.



Fig.1 Topology of Network based communication among modules On the other hand, the network based approach is

natively capable of migrating to a distributed environment. The main advantages of the networkbased implementation are that:

* the environment and the accepts that live

- the environment and the agents that live within are decoupled,
 the user can remotely access and interact
- with the IVE

 the distribution of computing power allows
 the implementation of more advanced
- graphics and AI techniques,

 the IVE can support multiple users

simultaneously
Therefore, solutions to the above problems must be found and implemented. However, there are a numerous drawbacks to this approach, too. First of all, the messages passed over need to be formatted by the sender and analyzed by the receiver, via a prodefined protocol. The above process is time

consuming and requires system resources, such as network handwidth and cru-time. Moreover, the delivery time of the messages is not insimificant. The delay between the dispatch and the arrival of a message can vary depending on network traffic. handwidth and end to end distance. If the messages sent are relatively large and frequent the system will

he delayed and the network overloaded. In order to resolve the above problems we have introduced some techniques so as to overcome network limitations

· Transmit only the required information, by distributing parts of the world server regarding the agent to the agent clients. For example, if the agents' vision is implemented

exclusively in the world server, the agent client would require querying the server continuously to receive visual stimulus. This results in frequent and large messages. By transferring part of the knowledge concerning the position of the objects to the agent client such queries are not required.



information concerning the world · Define more complex actions, and transmit

them, instead of the elementary ones they are comprised of. For example, the agents' motion consists of several

small steps. Instead of transmitting these elementary actions to the visualization client and the world server, the agent can instruct the avatar to move to a specific position without caring about the intermediate steps

· Connect each agent client, not only with the world server, but also with all the agent

This results in reducing the workload of the world server, as well as the network traffic, because otherwise the world server would have to retrangmit messages from one agent client to the others.

3.2 Sense - Decide - Act Sensing is the ability of the agents to receive information about their environment. Sensing can be divided into the following categories:

. Un-requested events, which are sent to the agents when their sensors are stimulated, i.e. an event notifying the agent about bumping on a wall.

· Continuous requests for sensory information, made by the agent, i.e. frequent queries concerning the objects inside the agent's field of view.

Sensing is performed in the world server. The first category of sensing produces messages which are short, and at the same time relatively scarce. Therefore, such messages do not create significant network traffic. On the other hand the second category of sensing produces messages which are rather large and frequent

The fist category of sensing can be implemented in the world server. However, the second one must be implemented in the agent client. Therefore, the required handwidth and cru-time for the transmition is minimized. Moreover, as the necessary information, such as vision information, is located on the client, the querying is much faster, resulting in more accurate knowledge of the environment.

However, the agent client must be informed about changes in the environment, occurring from both other agents and the "laws of the world". The client can be notified by the world server for every change, or by another agent client which is responsible for the change. We followed the second approach, as it reduces the network's traffic. Direct connections between the agent clients are mandatory.

Deciding takes place in the agent client and is based on the interpretation of the sensory information received, the knowledge base of the agent and a BDI, Beliefs-Desires-Intentions, model. As stated showe for performance reasons, the client receives all the information concerning changes in the vietual world However, for believability respons the second is allowed to use only the part of the information that has already been sensed. Acting is the "implementation" of the decisions

of the agent. Actions can be broken down to two categories, those that affect the environment or other avents and those that affect the avent's state. These decisions can be instinctive, non-cognitive or cognitive. Messages concerning actions that belong to the first category are sent to the world server and the other agents, if required, and are visualized by the visualization clients. Actions of the second category are handled internally by the agent client.

3.3 Message Categories

The messages exchanged by the clients and the server can belong to one of the following categories depending on the participating entities: · Agent client to agent client messages.

- · Agent client from/to world server messages. · World server to visualization client
- The Agent client sends messages to the world server which contains commands about the intended action. This action will be executed in the virtual world. However there may be a delay between the reception of the message and the time that the message's instructions have been fully executed. Therefore, an additional categorization concerns:

· Latent messages · Instant messages

For example, latent messages may be "move to" instructions, which are completed when the destination is reached. It is a possibility that the agent will not be able to reach the destination. The agent's client is notified about the event that blocked the agent, such as a bump on an obstacle, and that the instruction could not be executed. In the meantime new instructions received will either be executed in parallel, or override the execution of the previous instructions.

4 A Case Study over Unreal

The architecture described above has been followed to develop a network based, distributed agent system over the Unreal Engine. The Unreal Engine fulfills most of the requirements stated. However, in some cases, minor modifications had to be made.

As stated above, the system consists of three types of modules: the world server, the agent clients and the visualization clients. The Unreal Engine offers both approaches, i.e. direct linking and network based communication. The second approach was preferred, for reasons stated above. The Unreal Engine provides both the world server and the visualization client in a single

module. It is possible to connect the Unreal world server to additional Unreal visualization clients. The agent clients can be implemented in any programming language, which offers basic socket

programming abilities. In our study, CV was used. The sense-decide-act model, which was proposed above, is fully applicable to the Unreal Engine. During the initialization of an agent client, information regarding the objects of the world and other agents are requested and transferred. Therefore, sensing can take place both in the engine's world server, as well as in the agent clients. In addition, the client establishes connections with all the other agent clients, so that they can communicate directly, without burdening the world server. The Engine also supports both latent and instant functions. They are used to implement corresponding latest and instant messaging.

5 Example In the following example we will attempt to present

the framework in action. The scenario implemented is the following: two IVAs, a red-dressed and a blue-dressed girl, connect to an IVE representing a house. The IVE is bosted on a world server and via two visualization clients two different viewpoints. those of the two IVAs, are rendered. The IVAs knowledge, messages and actions are observed using a monitoring application.



Fig.3 View of World after the creation of the barrel.

We can see in Figure3 that the blue-dressed girl is located at the stairs and the other in the kitchen. The blue-dressed girl's goal is to break the first barrel she finds in front of her. The red-dressed girl has no goal. At some point a barrel is created underneath the stairs in front of the blue-dressed

As Figure4 shows, the world server sends a message to the blue-dressed agent's world copy, notifying it that a barrel has been created at a specific location (message 1). Since the agent can actually see the barrel the agent's world copy notifies the agent implementation about the new barrel (message 2). Finally, the agent's knowledgebase is updated to reflect the perceived changes of the world (message 3).

The world server also sends a message, seen in Figure5(message 1), to the red-dressed agent's world copy, notifying it that a barrel has been created, but since the agent's senses can't actually perceive the barrel, the agent implementation is not notified nor it's knowledgebase updated.





Fig.6 View of World after the breaking of the barrel. The blue-dressed agent's goal can now be fulfilled. A decision to break the barrel is made and

is transformed into an action (Break Barrel) shown in Figure4(message 4). Afterwards, as depicted in Figure4, the agent's implementation sends a message to the its world copy dictating to break the barrel (message 4). The world copy verifies that the action in the message can be executed, and passes the message over to the world server/message 5), otherwise the agent implementation receives a notification that the action is prohibited. The world server checks whether the barrel is breakable and breaks it. Afterwards it sends an acknowledgement to the agent's world copy (message 6). If the barrel cannot be broken, for example if another agent in the meantime has already broken it, it notifies the agent's world copy of the failure to execute the command and forces an update to its world information, resolving any synchronization problems that may occur. The message is forwarded to the agent's implementation (message 7) and the agent's knowledgebase can now be updated

reflecting the barrel's new state (message 8). Finally, Figure4(message 9) and Figure5(message 2) reveal that the blue-dressed agent's world copy notifies all the other agent's world conies that the barrel has been broken. updating their world information. The red-dressed agent's implementation is still not notified about the barrels new state, since it is still out of its sensors' perception.

6 Conclusions & Future Work We have presented an approach for developing a

network based system for hosting intelligent virtual We have also presented an example of how such a system operates using networks to support multiple

IVAs on a world server and multiple visualization We believe that the NAIVE approach addresses most of the problems found in distributed IVEs,

providing an efficient framework, as shown in the case study over the Unreal Engine. Moreover, NAIVE o vercomes problems found in

DIVA, [1], and mVital, [6], by following the basic architecture but extending it by the experience taken from the SimHuman system, [7].

We are currently trying to develop more lifelike agents, by taking advantage of the distributed nature and processing power of NAIVE. Moreover, we are trying to create scenarios, in which the agents exhibit competitive autonomous behavior. displaying their independence.

1115 Vosinakis, G. Anastassakis, T. Panaviotopoulos, DIVA: Distributed Intelligent Virtual Agents. Firmal Assets 99. University of Salford, 1999.

[2] Michael Wooldridge. An Introduction to Multigreat Systems, John Wiley and Sons Ltd. 2002

[3]S. Russel, P. Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, Prentice Hall, 1995 [4]B. Haves-Roth. An architecture for adaptive

intelligent systems. Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 72. No. 1-2, 1995, pp. 329-365. [5]S.Bryson, Virtual Reality: A Definition History,

Lexicon Definition Supplement, NASA Ames www.fourthwavegroup.com/fwg/lexicon/1725w1.fdm 161G Anastassakis, T.Panaviotopoulos, A System

for Logic based Intelligent Virtual Agents. International Journal On Artificial Intelligence Tools, in press, 2004 [7] S. Vosinakis, T. Panaviotopoulos, A tool for

constructing 3D environments with Virtual Agents. Multimedia Tools and Applications Journal, in press, 2004.